

# Metrics on the Quantum Heisenberg Manifold

PARTHA SARATHI CHAKRABORTY

Indian Statistical Institute,  
203, B. T. Road, Calcutta-700 035, INDIA  
email: parthasc\_r@isical.ac.in

February 1, 2008

## 1 Introduction

Let  $G$  be the Heisenberg group.

$$G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid x, y, z \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

For a positive integer  $c$ , let  $H_c$  be the subgroup of  $G$  obtained when  $x, y, cz$  are integers. The Heisenberg manifold  $M_c$  is the quotient  $G/H_c$ . Nonzero Poisson brackets on  $M_c$  invariant under left translation by  $G$  are parametrized by two real parameters  $\mu, \nu$  with  $\mu^2 + \nu^2 \neq 0$  [4]. For each positive integer  $c$  and real numbers  $\mu, \nu$  Rieffel constructed a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A_{\mu, \nu}^{c, \hbar}$ , quantum Heisenberg manifold (QHM) as example of deformation quantization along a Poisson bracket [4]. These algebras have further been studied by [1] [2] [3] [8]. Recently in a series of papers [5] [6] [7] Rieffel has introduced the notion of compact quantum metric space (CQMS). He has also constructed examples in the case of  $C^*$ -dynamical systems where the dynamics is driven by a compact Lie group in ergodic way. Now it is also known that Heisenberg group acts ergodically on QHM. Using this action Weaver attempted to produce examples of CQMS out of QHM. His construction does not completely achieve the goal. Here essentially using the technique of Rieffel in a modified way we construct examples of CQMS from QHM.

Organization of the paper is as follows. In the next section we briefly recall the notion of QHM and the group action. Then on a suitable dense

\*-subalgebra we give a \*-algebra norm stronger than the  $C^*$ -norm. In section 3 we recall the definition of CQMS and construct examples out of QHM using the group action and the previously introduced norm.

## 2 The Quantum Heisenberg Algebra

Notation: for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $e(x)$  stands for  $e^{2\pi i x}$

**Definition 2.1** For any positive integer  $c$  let  $S^c$  denote the space of  $C^\infty$  functions  $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

- a)  $\Phi(x + k, y, p) = e(ckpy)\Phi(x, y, p)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$
  - b) for every polynomial  $P$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$  and every partial differential operator  $\tilde{X} = \frac{\partial^{m+n}}{\partial x^m \partial y^n}$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$  the function  $P(p)(\tilde{X}\Phi)(x, y, p)$  is bounded on  $K \times \mathbb{Z}$  for any compact subset  $K$  of  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$ .
- For each  $\hbar, \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}, \mu^2 + \nu^2 \neq 0$ , let  $\mathcal{A}_\hbar^\infty$  denote  $S^c$  with product and involution defined by

$$(\Phi \star \Psi)(x, y, p) = \sum_q \Phi(x - \hbar(q - p)\mu, y - \hbar(q - p)\nu, q) \Psi(x - \hbar q\mu, y - \hbar q\nu, p - q) \quad (2.1)$$

$$\Phi^*(x, y, p) = \bar{\Phi}(x, y, -p) \quad (2.2)$$

$\pi : \mathcal{A}_\hbar^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}))$  given by

$$(\pi(\Phi)\xi)(x, y, p) = \sum_q \Phi(x - \hbar(q - 2p)\mu, y - \hbar(q - 2p)\nu, q) \xi(x, y, p - q) \quad (2.3)$$

gives a faithful representation of the involutive algebra  $\mathcal{A}_\hbar^\infty$ .

$\mathcal{A}_{\mu, \nu}^{c, \hbar}$  = norm closure of  $\pi(\mathcal{A}_\hbar^\infty)$  is called the Quantum Heisenberg Manifold.  
 $N_\hbar$  = weak closure of  $\pi(\mathcal{A}_\hbar^\infty)$

We will identify  $\mathcal{A}_\hbar^\infty$  with  $\pi(\mathcal{A}_\hbar^\infty)$  without any mention.

Since we are going to work with fixed parameters  $c, \mu, \nu, \hbar$  we will drop them altogether and denote  $\mathcal{A}_{\mu, \nu}^{c, \hbar}$  simply by  $\mathcal{A}_\hbar$  here the subscript remains merely as a reminiscent of Heisenberg only to distinguish it from a general algebra.

**Action of the heisenberg group:** For  $\Phi \in S^c, (r, s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \equiv G$ , (as a topological space)

$$(L_{(r, s, t)}\phi)(x, y, p) = e(p(t + cs(x - r)))\phi(x - r, y - s, p) \quad (2.4)$$

extends to an ergodic action of the Heisenberg group on  $\mathcal{A}_{\mu,\nu}^{c,\hbar}$ .

**The Trace:**  $\tau : \mathcal{A}_{\hbar}^{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , given by  $\tau(\phi) = \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{T}} \phi(x, y, 0) dx dy$  extends to a faithful normal tracial state on  $N_{\hbar}$ .  
 $\tau$  is invariant under the Heisenberg group action.

**Definition 2.2** Let  $\phi \in S^c$ , then  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty,\infty,1}$  is the norm defined by

$$\|\phi\|_{\infty,\infty,1} = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}, y \in \mathbb{T}} |\phi(x, y, p)|$$

**Proposition 2.3**  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty,\infty,1}$  is a  $*$ -algebra norm on  $S^c$ .

*Proof:* For  $\phi \in S^c$ , clearly  $\|\phi\|_{\infty,\infty,1} = \|\phi^*\|_{\infty,\infty,1} = \|\phi\|_{\infty,\infty,1}$ . Let  $\phi, \psi \in S^c$  and  $\phi'(p) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}, y \in \mathbb{T}} |\phi(x, y, p)|$ ,  $\psi'(p) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}, y \in \mathbb{T}} |\psi(x, y, p)|$  for  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\Phi \star \Psi)(x, y, p)| \\ & \leq \sum_q |\Phi(x - \hbar(q - p)\mu, y - \hbar(q - p)\nu, q)| \times |\Psi(x - \hbar q\mu, y - \hbar q\nu, p - q)| \\ & \leq \sum_q \phi'(q) \psi'(p - q) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_p \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}, y \in \mathbb{T}} |(\Phi \star \Psi)(x, y, p)| & \leq \sum_p \sum_q \phi'(q) \psi'(p - q) \\ & = \|\phi\|_{\infty,\infty,1} \cdot \|\psi\|_{\infty,\infty,1} \end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty,\infty,1}$  is an algebra norm.  $\square$ .

**Proposition 2.4** The topology given by  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty,\infty,1}$  is stronger than the topology given by the  $C^*$ -norm coming from  $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar}$

*Proof:* It suffices to show for  $\phi \in S^c$ ,  $\|\phi\| \leq \|\phi\|_{\infty,\infty,1}$

Let  $\phi' : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be  $\phi'(n) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}, y \in \mathbb{T}} |\phi(x, y, n)|$ .  
Then for  $\xi \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z})$   
 $|(\phi\xi)(x, y, p)| \leq (\phi' \star |\xi(x, y, \cdot)|)(p),$

where  $\star$  denotes convolution on  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $|\xi(x, y, \cdot)|$  is the function  $p \mapsto |\xi(x, y, p)|$ . By Young's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\phi\xi)(x, y, \cdot)\|_{l_2} &\leq \|\phi' \star |\xi(x, y, \cdot)|\|_{l_2} \\ &\leq \|\phi'\|_{l_1} \|\xi(x, y, \cdot)\|_{l_2} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\|\phi\| \leq \|\phi\|_{\infty, \infty, 1}$ , since  $\|\phi\|_{\infty, \infty, 1} = \|\phi'\|_{l_1}$   $\square$ .

### 3 Compact Quantum Metric Space : The Example on QHM

We recall some of the definitions from [7]

**Definition 3.1** *An order unit space is a real partially ordered vector space  $A$  with a distinguished element  $e$ , the order unit satisfying*

- (i) ( Order Unit property ) *For each  $a \in A$  there is an  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $a \leq re$ .*
- (ii) ( The Archimidean property ) *If  $a \in A$  and if  $a \leq re$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $r \geq 0$ , then  $a \leq 0$ .*

**Remark 3.2** *The following prescription defines a norm on an order unit space.*

$$\|a\| = \inf\{r \in \mathbb{R} \mid -re \leq a \leq re\}$$

**Definition 3.3** *By a state of an order unit space  $(A, e)$  we mean a  $\mu \in A'$ , the dual of  $(A, \|\cdot\|)$  such that  $\mu(e) = 1 = \|\mu\|'$ . Here  $\|\cdot\|'$  stands for the dual norm on  $A'$ .*

**Remark 3.4** *States are automatically positive.*

**Example 3.5** *Motivating example of the above concept is the real subspace of selfadjoint elements in a  $C^*$ -algebra with the order structure inherited from the  $C^*$ -algebra.*

**Definition 3.6** Let  $(A, e)$  be an order unit space. By a Lip norm on  $A$  we mean a seminorm  $L$ , on  $A$  such that

- (i) For  $a \in A$ , we have  $L(a) = 0$  iff  $a \in \mathbb{R}e$
- (ii) The topology on  $S(A)$  coming from the metric  $\rho_L(\mu, \nu) = \sup\{|\mu(a) - \nu(a)| \mid L(a) \leq 1\}$  is the  $w^*$  topology.

**Definition 3.7** A compact quantum metric space is a pair  $(A, L)$  consisting of an order unit space  $A$  and a Lip norm  $L$  defined on it.

The following theorem of Rieffel will be of crucial importance.

**Theorem 3.8** (Theorem 4.5 of [7]) Let  $L$  be a seminorm on the order unit space  $A$  such that  $L(a) = 0$  iff  $a \in \mathbb{R}e$ . Then  $\rho_L$  gives  $S(A)$  the  $w^*$ -topology exactly if

- (i)  $(A, L)$  has finite radius, i.e,  $\exists$  some constant  $C$  such that  $\rho_L(\mu, \nu) \leq C$  for all  $\mu, \nu \in S(A)$ .
- (ii)  $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{a \mid L(a) \leq 1, \text{ and } \|a\| \leq 1\}$  is totally bounded in  $A$  for  $\|\cdot\|$ .

#### General Scheme of Construction :–

Let  $(A, G, \alpha)$  be a  $C^*$  dynamical system with  $G$  an  $n$  dimensional Lie group acting ergodically. Let  $A^\infty = \{a \in A \mid g \mapsto \alpha_g(a) \text{ is smooth}\}$ . Then any  $X \in \text{Lie}(G)$ , the Lie algebra of  $G$  induces a derivation  $\delta_X : A^\infty \rightarrow A^\infty$ . Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a basis of  $\text{Lie}(G)$ .  $L(a) = \vee_{i=1}^n \|\delta_{X_i}(a)\|_n$ , should be a good candidate for a Lip norm. Here  $\|\cdot\|_n$  stands for an algebra norm on  $A$  not necessarily the norm coming from the algebra. This is essentially Rieffel's construction the only modification is he considers the case where  $\|\cdot\|_n$  is the algebra norm. Here the problem of construction of Lip norms reduces to construction of the norm  $\|\cdot\|_n$  such that  $L$  so defined becomes a Lip norm.

#### Illustration in the context of quantum Heisenberg manifolds:–

Let

$$X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, X_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

be the canonical basis of the Lie algebra of the Heisenberg group. Then the associated derivations are given by

$$\delta_1(\phi)(x, y, p) = -\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(x, y, p)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_2(\phi)(x, y, p) &= 2\pi icpx\phi(x, y, p) - \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y}(x, y, p) \\
\delta_3(\phi)(x, y, p) &= 2\pi ip\phi(x, y, p)
\end{aligned}$$

Notation:– Henceforth  $A$  will stand for  $S^c_{s,a}$

**Proposition 3.9**  $L : S^c_{s,a} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  given by  $L(\phi) = \vee_1^3 \|\delta_i(\phi)\|_{\infty, \infty, 1}$  is a Lip norm.

*Proof:* Since the action is ergodic and  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty, \infty, 1}$  is a norm it follows that  $L(\phi) = 0$  iff  $\phi$  is a constant multiple of identity. By theorem (3.8), it suffices to show that every sequence  $\phi_n \in \mathcal{B}_1 = \{\phi | L(\phi) \leq 1, \text{ and } \|\phi\| \leq 1\}$  admits a subsequence convergent in the norm coming from the C\*algebra.

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{1,n}(p) &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}, y \in \mathbb{T}} \left| \frac{\partial\phi_n}{\partial x}(x, y, p) \right| \\
f_{2,n}(p) &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}, y \in \mathbb{T}} \left| 2\pi icpx\phi_n(x, y, p) - \frac{\partial\phi_n}{\partial y}(x, y, p) \right| \\
f_{3,n}(p) &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}, y \in \mathbb{T}} |2\pi ip\phi_n(x, y, p)|
\end{aligned}$$

$L(\phi_n) \leq 1$  is equivalent with  $\sum_p f_{i,n}(p) \leq 1$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

$$\sup_{|x| \leq 2, y \in \mathbb{T}} \left| \frac{\partial\phi_n}{\partial y}(x, y, p) \right| \leq 4\pi c f_{3,n}(p) + f_{2,n}(p) \leq 1 + 4\pi c$$

Now by Arzella-Ascoli theorem there exists  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that for each  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\sup_{|x| \leq 2, y \in \mathbb{T}} |\phi_n(x, y, p) - \phi(x, y, p)| \rightarrow 0$ . Clearly  $\phi$  satisfies the periodicity condition.

**Claim:–**

$$\sum_p \sup_{x,y} |\phi(x, y, p)| < \infty$$

*Proof of Claim:–* Suppose not, then for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\exists p_1, \dots, p_k > N$  such that  $\sum_i \sup_{x,y} |\phi(x, y, p_i)| > 2$ . So, one can take  $n$  sufficiently large so that

$$\sum_{|p| \geq N} \sup_{x,y} |\phi_n(x, y, p)| \geq \sum_i \sup_{x,y} |\phi(x, y, p_i)| > 3/2$$

On the otherhand note,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{|p| \geq N} \sup_{x,y} |\phi_n(x,y,p)| &= \sum_{|p| \geq N} \frac{f_{3,n}(p)}{p} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_p f_{3,n}(p) = \frac{1}{N}
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.1}$$

This leads to a contradiction.  $\square$ .

For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $\phi_{|p| \leq N}(x,y,p) = \begin{cases} \phi(x,y,p) & \text{for } |p| \leq N \\ 0 & \text{for } |p| > N \end{cases}$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Choose  $N$  such that

(i)  $\|\phi - \phi_{|p| \leq N}\|_{\infty, \infty, 1} \leq \epsilon$ , (ii)  $\frac{1}{N} \leq \epsilon$ .

Then by (3.1)  $\|\phi_n - \phi_{n, |p| \leq N}\|_{\infty, \infty, 1} \leq \epsilon, \forall n$ . Now choose  $m$  such that

for  $m \leq n$ ,  $\|\phi_{n, |p| \leq N} - \phi_{|p| \leq N}\|_{\infty, \infty, 1} \leq \epsilon$ . Therefore for all  $n \geq m$ ,

$\|\phi_n - \phi\|_{\infty, \infty, 1} \leq 3\epsilon$ . Now the result follows from Proposition 2.4.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.10** *For all  $\mu, \nu \in S(A)$*

$$\rho_L(\mu, \nu) = \sup\{|\mu(a) - \nu(a)| \mid L(a) \leq 1\} \leq 6$$

*Proof:* Let  $\phi \in S^c$  be such that  $L(\phi) \leq 1$ .

Then  $L(L_{(0,0,t)}(\phi)) \leq 1$ . Therefore,  $L(\int_0^1 L_{(0,0,t)}(\phi) dt) \leq 1$ .

i.e,  $L(\phi^{(0)}) \leq 1$  where  $\phi^{(0)}(x,y,p) = \delta_{p0}\phi(x,y,p)$ . Recall,

$$\int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{T}} L_{(r,s,0)}(\phi^{(0)}) dr ds = \tau(\phi^{(0)})I$$

Let  $f_3(p) = |2\pi p \phi(x,y,p)|$ , then  $\sum_p f_3(p) \leq 1$  since  $L(\phi) \leq 1$ . Now,

(i)  $\|\phi - \phi^{(0)}\| \leq \|\phi - \phi^{(0)}\|_{\infty, \infty, 1} \leq \sum_{p \neq 0} \frac{f_3(p)}{2\pi|p|} \leq \sum_p f_3(p) \leq 1$

(ii)  $\|\phi^{(0)} - L_{(r,s,0)}\phi^{(0)}\|_{\infty, \infty, 1} \leq 2$

Using these two we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mu(\phi) - \tau(\phi^{(0)})| &\leq |\mu(\phi) - \mu(\phi^{(0)})| + |\mu(\phi) - \mu(\tau(\phi^{(0)})I)| \\
&\leq \|\phi - \phi^{(0)}\| + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |\mu(\phi^{(0)}) - \mu(L_{(r,s,0)}(\phi^{(0)}))| dr ds \\
&\leq 3.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

□.

**Theorem 3.11**  $((A, I), L)$  is a compact quantum metric space

*Proof:* Follows from the previous two propositions.

□.

## References

- [1] B.Abadie. : " Vector Bundles " over quantum Heisenberg manifolds, *Algebraic Methods in Operator Theory* Birkhauser, 307-315 (1994)
- [2] B.Abadie. : Generalized fixed-point algebras of certain actions on crossed products, *Pacific J. Math*, **171** , no. 1, 1–21, (1995).
- [3] Chakraborty, P. S. and Sinha, K. B. : Geometry on the Quantum Heisenberg Manifold, math.OA/0112270.
- [4] Rieffel, Marc A. : Deformation quantization of Heisenberg manifolds, *Communications in Math. Phys.*, **122**, 531-562, (1989)
- [5] Rieffel, Marc A. : Metrics on states from actions of compact groups. *Doc. Math.* **3** 215–229, (1998)
- [6] Rieffel, Marc A. : Metrics on state spaces. *Doc. Math.* **4** 559–600, (1999)
- [7] Rieffel, Marc A. : Gromov-Hausdorff Distance for Quantum Metric Spaces, math.OA/0011063 .
- [8] Weaver, N. : Sub-Riemannian metrics for quantum Heisenberg manifolds, *Journal of Operator Theory*, **43**, 223-242, (2000).